

The office of the state of the

ART UNIT 232

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF)
	MARTIN G. REIFFIN	{
FILED:	APRIL 3, 1985	\ \{
FOR:	COMPUTER SYSTEM WITH)
	REAL-TIME COMPILATION)
SERIAL	NO. 719,507)

RESPONSE

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed September 4, 1986 favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the remarks below.

The third affidavit by Mark Wadsworth filed in applicant's copending parent application Serial No. 425,612 is incorporated herein by reference and sets forth facts showing that:

- (1) The interpreter/formatter of the Lawrence et al.
 patent is neither a "compiler" nor "functionally equivalent" to a
 compiler;
- (2) The Lawrence disclosure would not suggest or make obvious to one skilled in the compiler art the substitution of a compiler for the Lawrence interpreter/formatter; and